## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

BUNGIE, INC., a Delaware corporation, NO. C21-0811-TSZ Plaintiff, Seattle, Washington ٧. March 9, 2023 AIMJUNKIES.COM, a business of unknown classification; PHOENIX ) 11:00 a.m. DIGITAL GROUP, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; ) Status Hearing JEFFREY CONWAY, an individual; DAVID SCHAEFER, an individual; ) via Telephone JORDAN GREEN, an individual; and JAMES MAY, an individual, Defendants.

> VERBATIM REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE THOMAS S. ZILLY UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

## **APPEARANCES:**

For the Plaintiff: CHRISTIAN WILLIAM MARCELO

WILLIAM C. RAVA Perkins Coie

1201 3rd Avenue, Suite 4900

Seattle, WA 98101-3099

For the Defendants: PHILIP P. MANN

Mann Law Group, PLLC 403 Madison Avenue North

Suite 240

Bainbridge Island, WA 98110

Reported by: MARCI E.C. CHATELAIN, CCR, RPR, RMR,

CRR, Federal Court Reporter

700 Stewart Street, Suite 17205

Seattle, WA 98101

marci chatelain@wawd.uscourts.gov

	1	PROCEEDINGS
	2	<del></del>
	3	THE CLERK: Good morning, Your Honor.
	4	Are you on the line?
11:00:47	5	THE COURT: This is Judge Zilly. Can you hear me?
	6	THE CLERK: I can, Your Honor. Can you hear me? This
	7	is Laurie.
	8	(Pause.)
	9	THE COURT: This is Judge Zilly calling in. Good
11:04:02	10	morning.
	11	THE CLERK: Hi, Judge Zilly. Can you hear me?
	12	THE COURT: Yes.
	13	THE CLERK: Okay.
	14	THE COURT: Want to call the calendar?
11:04:07	15	THE CLERK: I will, Your Honor.
	16	United States District Court for the Western District of
	17	Washington is now in session, the Honorable Thomas S. Zilly
	18	presiding.
	19	We are here on the matter of Bungie, Incorporated versus
11:04:20	20	Aimjunkies.com, et al., case number C21-0811, assigned to this
	21	Court.
	22	Counsel, will you please make your appearances for the
	23	record?
	24	MR. MANN: Good morning, Your Honor.
11:04:33	25	This is Philip Mann for the defendants.

THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Mann. 1 2 Who do we have for the plaintiffs? 3 MR. MARCELO: Hi. This is Christian Marcelo from Perkins Coie for Plaintiff Bungie. 4 5 I'm joined by co-counsel William Rava from Perkins Coie, as 11:04:46 6 well as Bungie's in-house counsel James Barker. 7 THE COURT: All right. And when any of the 8 plaintiff's lawyers are talking, please identify yourselves so 9 the court reporter and I will know who is talking. 10 We received a telephone call on, I believe, March 3. Ιt 11:05:07 11 was -- I believe there were lawyers from both sides on the phone 12 asking for a telephone conference call with me with regard to 13 scope and scheduling matters, so we immediately, within a day or 14 two, set this status conference today. 15 I fully anticipate that in addition to resolving those 11:05:42 16 matters that you called upon, we should have brief discussion 17 about the motion to extend discovery, which is Docket 87, which is fully briefed and which I'm prepared to rule on today. 18 19 But let's start with the reason the lawyers called and how 20 I can help you solve any scheduling issues. 11:06:06 21 MR. MANN: Thank you, Your Honor. 22 This is Philip Mann for the defendants. 23 The call was initiated at my direction. And it's to 24 address what I believe is a very narrow issue. And I'm hoping 25 that we can get it resolved quickly. 11:06:24

As you know, there are five defendants in this case, four individual defendants, as well as a corporate defendant. And as you also know, there's a parallel arbitration action that was recently decided, and that's the subject of additional briefing that will not be addressed here today.

During the course of discovery, each of the individual defendants was deposed for a whole day. There were no restrictions on the scope of the deposition. And we let the deposition run as long as Bungie wanted to run those depositions.

In addition, the corporate defendant, Phoenix Visual, was deposed under Rule 30(b)(6), again for a full day; no restrictions on that.

The reason we are here is because Bungie now wants to take the depositions of all those witnesses once again. And although we do not oppose the idea of taking further depositions, we were hopeful that we could get some restrictions on the scope and duration of those depositions.

Now, I should point out that the depositions that were conducted earlier were under deposition notices bearing the caption of the arbitration, but I think we have an agreement among counsel that all discovery, whether it's in the arbitration or in the matter before you, Your Honor, would be fair game for all the proceedings. You know, the idea is it's silly to have to do things twice when we can do it all at once.

11:06:43

11:07:01 10

11:07:16 15

11:07:37

11:07:57 25

So our position, Your Honor, is that the witnesses can be 1 2 deposed. We have no objection to deposing the witnesses with 3 respect to the counterclaims that were raised. And again, refreshing recollection, it was in early February that this 4 5 Court decided that most of the counterclaims can proceed. 11:08:19 6 have no objection to the witnesses being questioned about the 7 matters related to the counterclaims. 8 So we would like to have some sort of reasonable 9 restriction on it. You know, I propose two hours, but that's 10 not something that is written in stone. 11:08:38 11 And we were hopeful that, Judge, you could give us some 12 guidance on what would be an appropriate scope for these 13 depositions and perhaps, more importantly, the duration. 14 As I said, they've all been deposed for a full day. Thev 15 were all subjected to examination and cross-examination during 11:08:58 16 the arbitration hearing that was conducted in December. 17 And I hope I framed the question for you well enough, but, 18 if not, I'll be happy to answer any questions you may have. 19 I think I understand the issue. THE COURT: 20 Let's hear from the plaintiff. 11:09:16 21 MR. MARCELO: Thank you, Your Honor. 22 This is Christian Marcelo. 23 The first point I want to raise is this is actually an issue that defendant had raised in Docket No. 87. They answered 24 25 a single sentence about it, put it in a proposed order, but it's 11:09:29

been fully briefed. And this conference call seems to be a runaround trying to get a oral argument when none was requested.

But as to the merits of this request, there's two parallel proceedings: The arbitration, the federal case. The arbitration covered entirely different claims than the federal case does. We -- Bungie has not conducted any depositions of the defendants in this federal case. And that's all Bungie can do is depose the defendants regarding Bungie's own claims and the counterclaims in this case.

And I want to touch on one point that defendants' counsel made, which is the agreement that discovery could be used from the arbitration in the federal case. So the agreement was actually that those depositions, that if the testimony could be used in both cases, but as defendants' counsel stated on the record in that deposition, this is a quote from him, he said, All witnesses called in connection with the federal case may also be re-called in connection with the arbitration. This goes for you guys, too.

So that was defendants' counsel reserving his right to call a witness both in the federal case and in the arbitration, and that's what the parties agreed to.

THE COURT: Mr. Marcelo, this is the judge. It's clear, is it not, that the depositions of these parties can be used for all purposes in the federal case and the claims pending before me, regardless of whether or not, to what extent, you can

11:09:46

11:10:05 10

11:10:22

11:10:37 20

11:11:01 25

1 re-depose the same witnesses. You would agree that those 2 arbitration depositions, to the extent they're relevant here, in 3 this case, you wouldn't plow the same grounds. MR. MARCELO: Yes, Your Honor. And we've made that 4 5 point to defendants that we intend to be entirely reasonable, we 11:11:29 6 don't intend to retread the same ground and that the testimony 7 from the arbitration depositions can be used in the federal 8 proceeding, but there are --9 (Cross talking.) 10 THE COURT: But they're not --11 MR. MARCELO: -- issues --12 THE COURT: -- they're not --13 MR. MARCELO: -- not covered in the arbitration. THE COURT: As I recall, certain claims were referred 14 15 to arbitration, compelled to arbitration, and certain claims 11:11:50 16 remain here. 17 And with respect to the claims that remain here, clearly you haven't had an opportunity to depose the defendants and that 18 19 opportunity will be given to you. 20 How much time do you need per witness? 11:12:12 21 MR. MARCELO: Thank you, Your Honor. 22 So Bungie has already -- for Mr. Green and Mr. Conway, we 23 have scheduled half-day depositions and put them on the same And we think that's reasonable for both of them. 24 day. 25 For Mr. May, we would like the full day available, though 11:12:33

1 we do not know if it will be necessary; and that is largely, in 2 part, due to the highly technical counterclaims that he has 3 alleged here. And our understanding is that Mr. Schaefer is both Phoenix 4 5 Digital's 30(b)(6) witness and would be doing his own personal 11:12:52 6 depositions, so we are happy to put that on the same day, if 7 that understanding is correct. 8 THE COURT: All right. Well, you understand that this 9 impacts somewhat the motion to extend discovery, Docket 87. 10 How soon could those depositions you've described with that 11:13:17 11 amount of time be scheduled? And have you discussed scheduling? 12 MR. MARCELO: Yes, Your Honor. We've requested availability for defendants on several occasions. We have not 13 14 yet received any dates of availability. 15 And multiple times we have scheduled the depositions. At 11:13:37 16 our last scheduling of the depositions, we were informed that 17 defendants would not appear until this motion was resolved. 18 THE COURT: Well, had you noted them up? 19 MR. MARCELO: We did, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Well, here's where I am on the 20 11:13:55 21 motion to extend discovery by May 1st, that's Docket 87, we have 22 some deadlines that are in place now. The deadline for 23 discovery motions is now March 13th, which is right about -almost -- we're on that one. And the deadline for discovery 24 25 cutoff is, I believe, April 21. 11:14:28

I'm inclined to briefly continue both of those deadlines, but not anywhere near the time that the defendant has suggested. But I want to keep the deadline for the dispositive motions, and that deadline is May 22.

And so, Mr. Mann, do you have any quarrel with the amount of time and the people that the plaintiffs want to take in connection with the claims in this case?

MR. MANN: In principle, Your Honor, no.

I think I did want to have some sort of ground rules in place, and that's why I'm happy we're having this discussion. What I would ask is -- I can make these people available for the times that -- whatever you order, Judge.

What I would like, to avoid having bothered you in the future, is can we have an agreement that we will not be going over familiar ground? In particular, these witnesses were examined extensively over what their connection is with Phoenix Digital, what ownership interest they have, you know, what they did to create the so-called cheats and so forth.

If we -- if these questions are directed to truly new matter and is not going over things that have been asked extensively in the past, I don't have a problem. If it turns into a full day of asking the same questions over and over again, I prefer not to have to bother you again on that issue.

I don't know if that's making sense, but that's how we look at it.

11:14:54

11:15:21 10

11:15:39

11:16:01 20

11:16:19 25

THE COURT: Clearly, there may be some slight overlap, 1 2 but it would certainly be my intent that these people -- these 3 folks, when they're deposed again, cover new material with respect to the different claims. And there is not a need to go 4 5 into some of the background and other matters which have already 11:16:42 6 been covered in the prior depositions. 7 Do you have any problem with that, Mr. Marcelo? 8 MR. MARCELO: No, Your Honor. 9 And this is Mr. Marcelo. 10 No issues with that, and that was Bungie's intent. 11:16:56 11 THE COURT: All right. Well, you know, I -- there're

THE COURT: All right. Well, you know, I -- there're going to be, I'm sure, some questions that arguably lawyers can argue about whether it was or was not covered before. I think let's get on with life and get these depositions taken.

Now, what I'm inclined to do is this. Sounds like from the plaintiffs wanting to take the depositions of the defendants, you've listed who they are, I tried to write them down, but I'm not going to limit your depositions in terms of either time or who you take, but Mr. Mann is obviously going to be able to take depositions that he wants to take in connection with the plaintiff's various witnesses.

Is there any need for me to set a time schedule? In other words, how much time for -- you said Mr. Green one half day, my writing is not so good, so give the second witness one half day, and then Mr. Schaefer you wanted to cover one day. And you have

11:18:19

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

11:17:18

11:17:49

```
1
            maybe someone else that you wanted maybe a full day. Is that
        2
            kind of where you are?
        3
                 We're going to have a transcript, so you can put it on the
            record now and we'll hold you to it.
        4
        5
                  So, once again, from Mr. Marcelo, why don't you make a
11:18:33
        6
            record of what you need.
        7
                      MR. MARCELO: Certainly, Your Honor.
        8
                 Mr. Green and Mr. Conway both would be one half day,
        9
            whether it's on the same day or separate days.
       10
                 Mr. May would be a full day.
11:18:52
       11
                 And assuming we're correct that Mr. Schaefer is Phoenix
       12
            Digital's corporate representative, then both the Phoenix
       13
            Digital and Mr. Schaefer's deposition combined would be one day.
       14
                      THE COURT: All right. You in agreement with that,
       15
            Mr. Mann?
11:19:11
       16
                                 In principle, yes, Your Honor, with the
                      MR. MANN:
       17
            reservation that if -- and I certainly hope this doesn't happen,
       18
            but if it does become abusive, that we would have the right to
       19
            come back and see you again. Once again, I hope that doesn't
       20
                     But the short answer is, yes, I could live with that.
11:19:25
       21
                      THE COURT: I'll look at it and if I agree with you,
       22
            I'll order some sort of sanctions or attorneys' fees.
       23
                  So let's move on.
       24
                 Mr. Mann, how much discovery do you need to do? Have you
11:19:44 25
            talked with the plaintiff about what you want to do and when you
```

1 want to do it, how much time it's going to take? What I would like -- thank you, Judge, this 2 MR. MANN: 3 is Philip Mann. What I would like to do is I can do this fairly shortly, 4 5 I'd like to serve some document requests and interrogatories 11:19:55 6 directed toward the counterclaims. I would like to take some 7 depositions at some point, you know, immediately thereafter. And I would like to have sufficient time to file a discovery 8 9 motion, if needed. 10 And again, with the, you know, hope that we won't need to 11:20:14 11 bother you, but I would like to have sufficient time to file a 12 discovery motion if needed. So if we figure 30 days -- well, 13 maybe if I get these things out next week, we have 30 days 14 thereafter, and then a week or so to decide whether a discovery 15 motion is necessary, something like that would be workable. 11:20:35 16 THE COURT: Well, Mr. Mann, you've -- these 17 counterclaims were filed on September 16th, last year, Docket 18 63. How many depositions have you taken so far? 19 MR. MANN: We've taken one -- well, the counterclaims, we couldn't get the answers. They've been objecting to anything 20 11:20:56 21 relating to the counterclaims. And we took a 30(b)(6) 22 deposition of them last fall. 23 I would like to take one more 30(b)(6) deposition directed to the counterclaim issues. 24 11:21:14 25 MR. MARCELO: And, Your Honor, if I may, this is

1 Christian Marcelo, that's not accurate. The last discovery 2 request the defendant served was in August of 2022. The last 3 deposition they took was October 2022. We have heard nothing on our discovery requests for depositions since that time. It's 4 5 been six months and there has been nothing on discovery. 11:21:34 THE COURT: All right. That's what I understand the 6 7 record to be. 8 Here's what I'm going to do, folks, you work out the 9 details, but with respect to the motion to extend discovery at 10 Docket 87, I'm granting it in part and denying it in part as 11:21:50 follows. 11 12 MR. MARCELO: Okay. 13 THE COURT: First, the deadline for discovery motions is extended from March 13 to March 30. The deadline for 14 15 discovery is extended from April 21 to May 12. 11:22:07 16 The defendants' request to limit discovery only to the 17 counterclaims is denied. 18 There's a request for attorneys' fees, that is denied as 19 well. 20 Now, having done that and only granted part of the 11:22:28 21 extension that the defense has talked about, I want to ask the 22 plaintiffs whether we can shorten the time that they would need 23 to respond to any requests for production or interrogatories. MR. MARCELO: Your Honor, I would hesitate to say that 24 11:22:58 25 we can until we see the discovery requests themselves. We

1 haven't been served with any new discovery requests to be able 2 to determine whether it's a burden on Bungie to collect those 3 documents. THE COURT: I understand. 4 5 All right. Well, I think that's all we can do today, I 11:23:13 6 think. Work it out. 7 The next time, if you have a problem and you haven't been 8 able to work it out, it will be an in-court hearing and someone 9 -- you should both bring your checkbooks, because I'll be unhappy with one or both of you. This is -- these are the kinds 10 11:23:32 11 of things that you shouldn't have to have a federal judge tell 12 you when you can take a deposition or how long the deposition 13 is. You're both experienced -- you're all experienced 14 litigators, and you should be getting along a little better. 15 So I have nothing further to offer you other than a good 11:23:55 16 day. And we'll be in recess. 17 MR. MARCELO: Okay. Thank you, your Honor. 18 19 MR. MANN: Thank you, Judge. 20 One question, will you be issuing an order to summarize 11:24:05 21 these things or --22 THE COURT: We'll have minutes of the hearing. 23 Okay. Thank you. Thank you, Judge. MR. MANN: 24 THE COURT: All right. We'll be in recess. 11:24:16 25 THE CLERK: Thank you, everyone.

1	Court is in recess.
2	(Court recessed at 11:24 a.m.)
3	CERTIFICATE
4	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from
5	the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
6	<u>/s/ Marci E.C. Chatelain</u>
7	Marci E.C. Chatelain, CCR, RPR, RMR, CRR Federal Court Reporter
8	rederat court Reporter
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	